

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/065,461 | 10/21/2002 | Thomas Ferry | 126800 4029 | | |
| 23413 CANTOR COI | 7590 01/22/2007 LBURN, LLP | | EXAMINER | | |
| 55 GRIFFIN R BLOOMFIELI | OAD SOUTH | | DUONG, | NG, FRANK | |
| BLOOMFIELI | D, C1 00002 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2616 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| • | | | 01/22/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 81 |
|----|
| |

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/065,461 | FERRY ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Frank Duong | 2616 | |

| | Frank Duong | 2616 | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejecti | on. | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | 06.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropring the fee. The appropring the final Office is the final Office in the final Office is the feet appropring the feet approp | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | | |
| | liones with 27 CER 41 27 must be | filed within two month | o of the data of | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered b | 0001160 | | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further compared to the compar | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | , | · · · · / · | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | I be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>15-17</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>1,3-6,8-14 and 19</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,</u> 7 <u>and 18</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected. <u>2, 7 and 76.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | vercome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | Is to provide a | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowar | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation of "initiation of" in base claims 1, 13 and 19 would change the scope of the invention originally claimed and previously prosecuted. As a result the proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and search.

FRANK DUONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER